

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AKAL BROADCASTING CORP., et al.

Plaintiffs,

v.

SUKHDEV SINGH DHILLON, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:24-cv-00029-JLT-SAB

ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE COURT TO TERMINATE MOHAN S. CHEEMA, TARNVIR S. BISLA, AND JAGDEEP S. DHALIWAL AS PARTIES IN THIS ACTION

(ECF No. 19)

On May 14, 2024, Plaintiffs filed a notice of dismissal of Defendants Mohan S. Cheema, Tarnvir S. Bisla, and Jagdeep S. Dhaliwal without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i). (ECF No. 19.) Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure allows a party to dismiss some or all of the defendants in an action through a Rule 41(a) notice. Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997); see also Concha v. London, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995) (“The plaintiff may dismiss either some or all of the defendants—or some or all of his claims—through a Rule 41(a)(1) notice.”).

Defendants Mohan S. Cheema, Tarnvir S. Bisla, and Jagdeep S. Dhaliwal have not filed answers or motions for summary judgment in this action. Under Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i) a “plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing: (i) a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i).

///

Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to terminate Defendants Mohan S. Cheema, Tarnvir S. Bisla, and Jagdeep S. Dhaliwal as defendants in this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 15, 2024

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE